•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/755,365	RIJNBERG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean B. Corrielus	2611
All Participants:	Status of Application	າ:
(1) <u>Jean B. Corrielus</u> .	(3)	
(2) Edward Goodman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 February 2007</u>	Time: <u>2:48PM</u>	
Type of Interview:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
3,4, 7, 15, 16 and 18		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	DAL MATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED.
See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED.
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	e examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
•		
Ma Constala		
Examiner/SPF Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

11

10.7

1

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agrees to amend the claims noted above, as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. In addition, applicant's rep. agrees to cancel claim 18 as the claim fails to further limit base claim 13 because "array" as recited in claim 18 is equivalent to "plurality' as recited in claim 13. hence such examiner's amendment is necessary to place the application in better form for allowance.